

***Editor's Note:** The tables have been reordered since Supplemental Material was originally published. The current order reflects the citations in the final published article.*

**Note to readers with disabilities:** *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to [508 standards](#) due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact [ehp508@niehs.nih.gov](mailto:ehp508@niehs.nih.gov). Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

## **Supplemental Material**

# **The Impact of Individual Anthropogenic Emissions Sectors on the Global Burden of Human Mortality due to Ambient Air Pollution**

Raquel A. Silva, Zachariah Adelman, Meridith M. Fry, and J. Jason West

### **Table of Contents**

1. Input emissions
2. MOZART-4 performance evaluation
3. Simulation with zeroed-out anthropogenic emissions
4. Ozone and PM<sub>2.5</sub> surface concentrations
5. Population and Baseline Mortality Rates
6. Additional mortality results
7. Sensitivity Analysis (additional information)

### **References**

**Table S1** – Emissions source sectors included in the RCP8.5 global emissions inventory.

**Table S2** – Global emissions per sector for six pollutants (Tg/yr) in the RCP 8.5 Global Emissions Inventory, 2005, and the total emissions for all 12 sectors and for the sectors modeled in zero-out simulations (and percentage of the modeled sectors in total emissions in parenthesis).

**Table S3** – Ozone concentrations for baseline and zero-out simulations (ppb), showing the population- weighted average for each region of the average 1-hr daily maximum ozone for the consecutive 6-month period with the highest average.

**Table S4** – PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations for baseline and zero-out simulations (µg/m<sup>3</sup>), showing the population- weighted average for each region of the annual average concentrations.

**Table S5** – Concentrations of seven PM<sub>2.5</sub> species in the baseline simulation (µg/m<sup>3</sup>), showing the population- weighted average for each region of the annual average concentrations.

**Table S6** – Regional and global total population, exposed population (adults age 25 and older), and average cause-specific mortality rates (for the exposed population).

**Table S7** – Premature ozone-related respiratory mortality in ten world regions, and contributions from different sectors (deaths in 2005), showing the mean and 95% CI from 1000 Monte Carlo simulations. All numbers are rounded to three significant digits. Regional mean values correspond to the values shown in Figure 3 of the main paper.

**Table S8** – Premature ozone-related respiratory mortality in ten world regions, and contributions from different sectors (deaths in 2005), showing the deterministic mean estimates. All numbers are rounded to three significant digits.

**Table S9** – Premature ozone-related respiratory mortality per million people in total population in ten world regions, and contributions from different sectors (deaths per million people in 2005), showing the mean from 1000 Monte Carlo simulations. All numbers are rounded to the nearest unit.

**Table S10** – Premature PM<sub>2.5</sub>-related mortality in ten world regions (IHD+Stroke+COPD+LC), and contributions from different sectors (deaths in 2005), showing the mean and 95% CI from 1000 Monte Carlo simulations. All numbers are rounded to three significant digits. Regional mean values correspond to the values shown in Figure 5 of the main paper.

**Table S11** – Premature PM<sub>2.5</sub>-related mortality (IHD+Stroke+COPD+LC) in ten world regions, and contributions from different sectors (deaths in 2005), showing the deterministic mean estimates. All numbers are rounded to three significant digits.

**Table S12** – Premature PM<sub>2.5</sub> -related mortality (IHD+Stroke+COPD+LC) per million people in total population in ten world regions, and contributions from different sectors (deaths per million people in 2005), showing the mean from 1000 Monte Carlo simulations. All numbers are rounded to the nearest unit.

**Table S13** – Premature ozone and PM<sub>2.5</sub>-related mortality in ten world regions for the contribution of all anthropogenic emissions at 2.5°x1.9° horizontal resolution (deaths in 2005), showing the deterministic mean estimates. All numbers are rounded to three significant digits.

**Table S14** – Premature ozone and PM<sub>2.5</sub>-related mortality in ten world regions for the contribution of all anthropogenic emissions for the simulations at 0.67°x0.5° regridded to 2.5°x1.9° horizontal resolution (deaths in 2005), showing the deterministic mean estimates. All numbers are rounded to three significant digits.

**Figure S1** – Comparison of simulated monthly mean surface ozone concentrations (2005) with CASTNet monitored concentrations (2005) for eight US regions, showing modeled regional mean (red), CASTNET regional mean (black) and individual monitoring locations (grey). An overall model bias of 7.2 ppbv is calculated across all stations.

**Figure S2** – Comparison of simulated monthly mean surface ozone concentrations (2005) with EMEP monitored concentrations (2005) for six European regions, showing modeled regional mean (red), EMEP regional mean (black) and individual monitoring locations (grey). An overall model bias of 2.3 ppbv is calculated across all stations.

**Figure S3** – Comparison of the simulated annual average (2005) surface SO<sub>4</sub> concentrations (μg m<sup>-3</sup>) with annual average (2005) observations from the IMPROVE(A) and the EMEP (B) surface monitoring networks for the US and Europe, respectively. The left panels show modeled versus observed concentrations (μg m<sup>-3</sup>) with the dashed 1:2 and 2:1 lines representing agreement within a factor of 2. The right panels show a map of [(modeled-observed)/observed] values.

**Figure S4** – Comparison of the simulated annual average (2005) surface NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations (μg m<sup>-3</sup>) with annual average (2005) observations from the IMPROVE (A) and the EMEP (B) surface monitoring networks for the US and Europe, respectively. The left panels show modeled versus observed concentrations (μg m<sup>-3</sup>) with the dashed 1:2 and 2:1 lines representing

agreement within a factor of 2. The right panels show a map of [(modeled-observed)/observed] values.

**Figure S5** – Comparison of the simulated annual average (2005) surface EC concentrations ( $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) with annual average (2005) observations from the IMPROVE surface monitoring networks, US. The left panels show modeled versus observed concentrations ( $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) with the dashed 1:2 and 2:1 lines representing agreement within a factor of 2. The right panels show a map of [(modeled-observed)/observed] values.

**Figure S6** – Comparison of the simulated annual average (2005) surface OC concentrations ( $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) with annual average (2005) observations from the IMPROVE surface monitoring networks, US. The left panels show modeled versus observed concentrations ( $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) with the dashed 1:2 and 2:1 lines representing agreement within a factor of 2. The right panels show a map of [(modeled-observed)/observed] values.

**Figure S7** – Ten World Regions.

**Figure S8** – Ozone concentrations in the baseline simulation (ppb), showing the average 1-hr daily maximum ozone for the consecutive 6-month period with the highest average in each cell.

**Figure S9** – Difference in ozone concentrations between the baseline and zeroed-out simulations (ppb), using the average 1-hr daily maximum ozone for the consecutive 6-month period with the highest average in each cell.

**Figure S10** –  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentrations in the baseline simulation ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ), showing the annual average concentrations in each cell.

**Figure S11** – Fraction of selected  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  species (A – D) in total  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentrations in the baseline simulation.

**Figure S12** – Difference in  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentrations between the baseline and zeroed-out simulations (A – F) ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ), using the annual average concentrations in each cell.